1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Kevin Mahoney (SBN: 235367) Email: kmahoney@mahoney-law.n MAHONEY LAW GROUP, APC 249 E. Ocean Blvd., Ste. 814 Long Beach, CA 90802 Telephone: 562.590.5550 Facsimile: 562.590.8400 Briana M. Kim (SBN: 255966) Email: briana@brianakim.com BRIANA KIM, PC 249 E. Ocean Blvd., Ste. 814 Long Beach, CA 90802 Telephone: 714.482.6301 Facsimile: 714.482.6302	et		
9 10	Attorneys for Plaintiff, MICHAEL ALLEN			
11	UNITED STA	ATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	CENTRAL DIS	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13				
14 15	MICHAEL ALLEN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No.: CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Plaintiffs, v. UCLA HEALTH SYSTEMS AUXILIARY, a California Corporation; THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, a California Corporation; and Does 1 through 10, inclusive, Defendants. /// ///	 Confidential Medical Information Act; Unlawful, Fraudulent and Unfair Business Practices; Invasion of Privacy; Constructive Fraud; Breach of Contract; Negligence Per Se; Negligence; Breach of Implied Contract; and Unjust Enrichment. Jury Trial Demanded As To Claims That Are So Triable		
	- 1 -			
CLASS ACTION COMP				

1

2

3

4

Plaintiff Michael Allen (hereinafter "Plaintiff" or "Mr. Allen"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, based upon personal knowledge as to himself and his own acts, and upon information and belief as to all other matters alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

Ι

1. This class action arises from UCLA HEALTH SYSTEMS AUXILIARY's and THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA's (collectively "Defendants") failure to adequately secure the private, personal financial information of Plaintiff and all other persons similarly situated. Plaintiff and all other persons similarly situated, who were patients at Defendants' and their subsidiaries' hospitals, provided their private and health information for the purpose of receiving health care. When Plaintiff and all other persons similarly situated provided their personal and health information to Defendants and their subsidiaries, they did so with the understanding and contractual promise that Defendants and their subsidiaries would safeguard the information from being stolen by cyber thieves. Unfortunately for patients of Defendants and their subsidiaries, patients' personal information, and possibly their sensitive health information, was not kept secure. Instead, it was left in an unencrypted state and stolen by cyber thieves. Due to Defendants' failure to take the basic steps of encrypting patients' data, it was much easier for cyber thieves to interpret the information, use it to steal the identities of Defendants' patients, or sell to others who would use Defendants' patients' personal and health information.

25 2. Defendants' conduct permitted criminal hackers to steal Defendants'
 26 customers' sensitive personal and health information. Defendant UCLA HEALTH
 27 SYSTEMS AUXILIARY admitted the stolen information includes, at a minimum,
 28 names, addresses, dates of birth, Social Security numbers, medical record numbers,

¹ Medicare or health plan ID numbers, and some medical information.

2 3. In or about September 2014, Defendants first noticed their computer 3 system containing current customers' and former customers' personal and health information had been subject to suspicious activity that put the sensitive 4 information at risk of being stolen. Months-long investigations have revealed that, 5 as a result of the security breach, unknown cyber thieves now possess nonpublic 6 personal and health information from Plaintiff and 4.5 million customers of 7 Defendants nationwide. Because the nonpublic personal and health information 8 included social security numbers and Medicare or health plan ID numbers, Plaintiff 9 and other customers of Defendants face a long term battle against identity theft. 10 Defendants' failure to adequately protect the nonpublic personal and health 11 information in their possession has caused, and will continue to cause, substantial 12 harm and injuries to Plaintiff and all current and former customers of Defendants. 13

4. Mr. Allen seeks on behalf of himself and putative class members,
statutory, actual and/or compensatory damages, punitive damages, and equitable
relief, including costs and expenses of litigation including attorneys' fees, and
appropriate injunctive relief requiring Defendants to comply with its legal
obligations, as well as additional and further relief that may be appropriate.
Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the Complaint to add additional relief as
permitted under applicable law.

II

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This Court has original jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section
 1332(d). Diversity is satisfied as Plaintiff, Mr. Allen is a citizen of Casper,
 Wyoming, and Defendants' principal place of business is located in Los Angeles,
 California. The amount is controversy is satisfied as it exceeds \$5 million dollars.
 6. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 1391(b) and (c) because
 Defendant UCLA HEALTH SYSTEMS AUXILIARY is a corporation

headquartered in Los Angeles County and/or because this District is a District in 1 2 which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the improper 3 conduct alleged herein occurred.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

19

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

7.

III **PARTIES**

Plaintiff Michael Allen is a current resident of Casper, Wyoming.

8. Upon information and belief, Defendant UCLA HEALTH SYSTEMS AUXILIARY is a corporation doing business in California, with its headquarters and principal place of business located at 10833 Le Conte Avenue, Los Angeles, California 90095-7131.

9. Upon information and belief, Defendant THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA is a corporation doing business throughout the 12 State of California. 13

Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of the Defendants 10. 14 sued herein as Does 1 through 10, but Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this Complaint to allege their true names and capacities once they are ascertained. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff makes all allegations contained in this Complaint against all of the Defendants, including Does 1 through 10. 18

IV

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

11. Mr. Allen incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations.

12. On or around February 2013, Mr. Allen visited a UCLA Health Center for the purpose of receiving treatment as a patient. During his multiple visits as a patient with UCLA Health, Mr. Allen provided his entire medical/patient history, billing information, as well as other non-public personal information.

13. Starting on or before September 2014, cyber thieves accessed 26 approximately 4.5 million of UCLA Health's current and former customers' 27 personal and health information, including, but not limited to, names, addresses, 28

dates of birth, Social Security numbers, medical record numbers, Medicare or 1 health plan ID numbers and medical information. 2

3 14. After investigating unusual activity on their computer servers, Defendants determined that their computer network containing customers personal 4 and health information had been accessed by cyber hackers. 5

15. The cyber thieves who breached Defendants' records were able to 6 access a network containing 4.5 million current and former customers' records that 7 included the nonpublic personal and health information. 8

Despite investigating the potential breach since in or around October 9 16. 2014, Defendants did not announce that their network containing current and 10 former customers' personal and health information had been compromised until 11 July 17, 2015. Underscoring its dilatory response, Defendants are still delaying 12 notifying individual consumers affected by the breach. See attached as Exhibit A, 13 CNN Money article dated July 17, 2015¹. 14

Defendants' failure to maintain the security of its current and former 17. 15 customers' nonpublic personal and health information has been the subject of scrutiny by security experts and patient advocates. Despite the trend of 18 cybercriminals targeting big players in healthcare, Defendants still failed to take even the most basic step of encrypting their patient data. 19

16

17

23

24

26

18. Health care providers such as UCLA Health are legally required to 20 keep their customers' personal, health, and financial information private and 21 secured. 2.2.

Defendants knew or should have known of the risks inherent in 19. maintaining their customers' nonpublic personal and health information, and if such information was stolen, it would have dire consequences for those customers. 25

See Exhibit A, Article from CCN Money entitled, "Hackers broke into the 27 massive hospital network of the University of California, Los Angeles, accessing 28 computers with sensitive records of 4.5 million people."

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

V

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

17

20

21

22

23

Mr. Allen incorporates by reference the foregoing allegations. 20. Mr. Allen brings this action pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil 21. Procedure section 23(a) and (b)(2) and/or 23(b)(3) on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated. This action satisfies the numerosity, typicality, commonality, adequacy, predominance, and superiority requirements of those provisions.

22. Plaintiff's proposed class consists of and is defined as: "All current 9 and former patients who provided non-public personal and non-public health 10 information for the purpose of receiving health care."

23. Numerosity. The Class consists of several millions of individuals, 12 making joinder of individual cases impracticable, in satisfaction of Rule 23(a)(1). 13 While the exact number of the Class members is unknown to Plaintiff at this time, 14 such information can be ascertained through appropriate discovery, from records 15 maintained by Defendant and its agents. 16

Typicality. Mr. Allen is qualified to, and will, fairly and adequately 24. protect the interests of each class member with whom he is similarly situated. Mr. 18 Allen's claims are typical of the claims of all members of the Class. Mr. Allen and 19 each Class member were affected in substantially the same way, as demonstrated herein. The claims of the Plaintiff and members of the Class are based on the same legal theories and arise from the same unlawful conduct, resulting in the same injury to the Plaintiff and to all of the other Class members.

Adequacy of Representation. Mr. Allen will fairly and adequately 25. 24 represent the interests of the class members. Mr. Allen has retained counsel with 25 substantial experience in prosecuting complex litigation and class actions. Mr. 26 Allen and his counsel are committed to vigorously prosecuting the action on behalf 27 of the class members, and have the financial resources to do so. Neither Mr. Allen 28

1 nor his counsel has any interest adverse to those of the other class members.

2 26. Superiority. Absent a class action, most class members would find the 3 cost of litigating their claims to be prohibitive and will have no effective remedy. The class treatment of common questions of law and fact is also superior to 4 multiple individual actions or piecemeal litigation in that it conserves the resources 5 of the courts and litigants, and promotes consistency and efficiency of 6 adjudication. By contrast, the conduct of this action as a class action presents few 7 management difficulties, conserves the resources of the parties and of the court 8 system, and protects the rights of each Class member. Plaintiff anticipates no 9 difficulty in the management of this case as a class action. 10

27. <u>Commonality</u>. There are many questions of law and fact common to
 the claims of Plaintiff and the other members of the Class, and those questions
 predominate over any questions that may affect individual Class members within
 the meaning of Rules 23(a)(2) and 23(b)(3).

28. Common questions of fact and law affecting members of the Class include, but are not limited to, the following:

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

26

27

28

 a. Whether Defendants failed to implement and maintain reasonable security procedures and practices to protect Plaintiff's and Class members' personal and medical information;

 Whether Defendants implemented and maintained reasonable security practices to protect Plaintiff's and Class members' personal and medical information from unauthorized access, destruction, use, modification, or disclosure;

c. Whether Defendants negligently and unlawfully disclosed
 Plaintiff's and Class members' personal and medical
 information to unauthorized persons in violation of California
 Civil Code section 56.10;

1	d. Whether Defendants negligently created, maintained, preserved,		
2	stored, abandoned, destroyed, or disposed of Plaintiff's and		
3	Class members' personal and medical information in violation		
4	of California Civil Code section 56.101;		
5	e. Whether Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to statutory		
6	damages; and		
7	f. Whether Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to restitution;		
8	g. Whether Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to equitable		
9	and/or injunctive relief.		
10	29. Mr. Allen reserves the right to revise the foregoing "Class		
11	Allegations" based on facts learned in discovery.		
12	VI		
13	CAUSES OF ACTIONS		
14	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION		
15	(Violations of the Confidentiality of Medical Information Act, California Civil		
16	Code §§ 56 <i>et seq</i> .)		
17	(Against All Defendants)		
18	30. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each allegation set forth above as if		
19	fully set forth herein and further alleges as follows.		
20	31. Defendants are providers of health care services with a network of		
21	hospitals and offices that span throughout Southern California and are subject to		
22	the requirements and mandates of the California Confidentiality of Medical		
23	Information Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 56, et seq. ("CMIA").		
24	32. Plaintiff and the Class are "patients" of Defendants within the		
25	meaning of Civil Code section 56.05(g). Furthermore, Plaintiff and the Class, as		
26	patients of the Defendants had their personal medical information recorded and		
27	stored within Defendants' network.		
21	stored within Defendants' network.		
27	stored within Defendants' network.33. Defendants requested and came into possession of Plaintiff's and		

Class members' personal and medical information and had a duty to exercise
 reasonable care in preserving the confidentiality of this information.

34. Defendants were entrusted with Plaintiff's and Class members'
personal and medical information as a provider of medical data processing and/or
other administrative services to Defendants and therefore also owed a duty of
reasonable care to Plaintiff and Class members to preserve the confidentiality of
their personal and medical information.

8 35. Under California Civil Code section 56.10, Defendants were required
9 to obtain Plaintiff's and Class members' authorization prior to disclosing their
10 personal or medical information.

On or around September 2014, Defendants negligently and unlawfully 36. 11 disclosed Plaintiff's and Class members' personal and medical information, 12 without first obtaining Plaintiff's and Class members' authorization when cyber 13 hackers slipped into Defendants' computer networks containing sensitive patient 14 and subscriber information as required by the Confidentiality of Medical 15 Information Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 56.10, 56.104, and 56.11. Thus, Defendants' 16 negligence constitutes a violation of California Civil Code sections 56.10, 56.104, 17 and 56.11. 18

37. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' violation of California
Civil Code sections 56.10, 56.104, and 56.11, accordingly, Plaintiff and the Class
seek relief under California Civil Code sections 56.35 and 56.36(b).

38. Plaintiff and the Class seek nominal damages of one thousand dollars
(\$1,000) per violation pursuant to California Civil Code section 56.36(b)(1), actual
damages per violation pursuant to California Civil Code section 56.36(b)(2),
punitive damages up to three thousand dollars (\$3,000) per violation pursuant to
California Civil Code section 56.35.

27 39. Plaintiff and the Class seek recovery of attorneys' fees of up to one
28 thousand dollars (\$1,000) per violation pursuant to California Civil Code section

56.35 and costs of litigation pursuant to California Civil Code section 56.35.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Unlawful, Fraudulent and Unfair Business Practices, California Business & Professions Code § 17200, et seq.)

(Against All Defendants)

40. Plaintiff and the Class incorporate by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

41. As a result of Defendants' violation of California Civil Code sections
56.10, 56.104, and 56.11, as set forth above, and Defendant's violation of
California Business and Professions Code section 17200, as set forth below,
Plaintiff and members of the Class have suffered an injury in fact by, among other
things, having their personal information disseminated to others without their prior
written authorization, as required by the Confidentiality of Medical Information
Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 56.10, 56.104, and 56.11, and being exposed to identity
theft. Additionally, Plaintiff and members of the Class have lost property in that
Plaintiff and the Class suffered and are each entitled to nominal damages of one
thousand dollar (\$1,000) pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 56.36(b)(1).

42. Defendants' conduct in violation California Civil Code sections 56.10, 56.104, and 56.11, as set forth above, violates California Business and Professions Code section 17200 in the following respects:

> a) Defendants' negligent mishandling of Plaintiff's and the Class members' medical information, as set forth above, constitutes an unlawful business practice because Defendants' conduct violates California Civil Code sections 56.10, 56.104, and 56.11;

 b) Defendants' negligent mishandling of Plaintiff's and the Class members' medical information, as set forth above, constitutes an unfair business practice because Defendants' practice is unethical, unscrupulous, and substantially injurious to consumers. The harm to Plaintiff and members of the Class outweighs the utility, if any, of Defendant's practices; and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

23

c) Defendants' unlawful and unfair business practices, as described above, present a continuing threat to Plaintiff and the Class since Defendants continue to negligently maintain the medical information of Plaintiff and the Class. Plaintiff and the Class have no other adequate remedy of law in that absent equitable relief from the Court, Defendants are likely to continue to injure consumers, and thus engendering a multiplicity of judicial proceedings.

43. Pursuant to the Business & Professions Code section 17203, Plaintiff and the Class seek an order of this Court for equitable and/or injunctive relief in the form of an order instructing Defendants to prohibit the unauthorized access to the medical information of Plaintiff and the Class and to maintain the confidentiality of the medical information of Plaintiff and the Class. Plaintiff and the Class also seek the recovery of attorneys' fees and costs in prosecuting this action against Defendants under California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 and other applicable law.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (Invasion of Privacy)

(Against All Defendants)

44. Plaintiff and the Class incorporate by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

45. As a result of providing health care services to Plaintiff and the Class
as patients of Defendants, each and every day during the two-year period preceding
the filing of this class action complaint through the present, Defendant invaded
Plaintiff's and the Class members' right to privacy by allowing the unauthorized
access to the medical information of Plaintiff and the Class and negligently

maintaining the confidentiality of the medical information of Plaintiff and the
Class, as set forth above.

46. The intrusion was offensive and objectionable to Plaintiff, the Class
and to a reasonable person or ordinary sensibilities in that the personal medical
information that Defendants obtain, is disclosed by Defendants without prior
written authorization of Plaintiff and the Class.

47. The intrusion was into a place or thing which was private and is
entitled to be private, in that Plaintiff's and Class' personal medical information
provided to Defendants as patients of Defendants were made privately, and was
intended to be kept confidential and protected from unauthorized disclosure.

48. As a proximate result of Defendants' above acts, Plaintiff's and Class
members' medical information was viewed, printed, distributed, and used by
persons without prior written authorization and Plaintiff and the Class suffered
general damages in an amount to be determined at trial according to proof.

49. Defendants are guilty of oppression, fraud, or malice by permitting the
unauthorized disclosure of Plaintiff's and the Class members' personal medical
information with a willful and conscious disregard of Plaintiff's and the Class
members' right to privacy.

50. Unless and until enjoined, and restrained by order of this Court,
Defendants' wrongful conduct will continue to cause Plaintiff and the Class, great
and irreparable injury in that the personal identification information maintained by
Defendants can be viewed, printed, distributed, and used by unauthorized persons.
Plaintiff and members of the Class have no adequate remedy of law for the injuries
in that a judgment for the monetary damages will not end the invasion of privacy
for Plaintiff and the Class.

26 ///

27 || ///

28 ///

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Constructive Fraud) (Against All Defendants)

51. Plaintiff hereby incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint and restates them as if they were fully written herein.

Defendants, in collecting Plaintiff and Class members' nonpublic 52. personal, financial and health information, were therefore entrusted with Plaintiff's and Class members' nonpublic personal, financial, and health information and were put in the same confidential and special relationship with Plaintiff and Class members as they had with the companies that provided them with health insurance.

Defendants breached their confidential and special relationship with 53. Plaintiff and Class members by failing to adequately secure Plaintiff's and Class 12 members' nonpublic personal and financial information from unauthorized users, 13 including the cyber thieves who stole the information as described herein. 14

As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' breach, Plaintiff and 54. Class members have been harmed and have suffered, and will continue to suffer, damages and injuries.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Breach of Contract) (Against All Defendants)

Plaintiff hereby incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this 55. Complaint and restates them as if they were fully written herein.

56. Plaintiff and Class members, upon information and belief entered into 23 express contracts with Defendants that included Defendants' promise to protect 24 nonpublic personal information given to Defendants or that Defendants gather on 25 their own, from disclosure. Defendants' promise was incorporated into each of 26 their health insurance policies issued to Plaintiff and Class members. 27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

57. Plaintiff and Class members performed their obligations under the 1 contract when they paid for their health care services.

58. Defendants breached their contractual obligation to protect the
nonpublic personal information Defendants gathered when the information was
accessed by unauthorized personnel as part of the cyber hacking that occurred on
or about September 2014.

59. As a direct and proximate result of Anthem's breach, Plaintiff and
Class members have been harmed and have suffered, and will continue to suffer,
damages and injuries.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligence Per Se)

(Against All Defendants)

60. Plaintiff hereby incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint and restates them as if they were fully written herein.

61. Defendants had a duty under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (GLBA) to protect the security and confidentiality of their customers' personal information. 12 U.S.C. § 1843(k).

62. Defendants breached their duty to protect the security and
confidentiality of their patients' personal information by allowing their former and
current patients' nonpublic personal and medical information to be compromised
and stolen by cyber thieves as described throughout this Complaint. Amongst their
many failings, Defendants failed to encrypt their customers' nonpublic personal
and financial information so that if it were accessed by unauthorized persons, it
would not be easily readable or usable.

63. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' failure to protect the
security and confidentially of their customers' nonpublic personal and financial
information, Plaintiff and Class members have been harmed and have suffered, and
will continue to suffer, damages and injuries.

28 ///

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Negligence) (Against All Defendants)

64. Plaintiff hereby incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint and restates them as if they were fully written herein.

1

2

3

4

5

23

65. Defendants had a foreseeable duty to disclose that Defendants could 6 not keep private patients' nonpublic personal, heath and health related information 7 to Plaintiff and Class members. 8

66. Defendants had a foreseeable duty to Plaintiff and Class members to 9 exercise reasonable care to secure Plaintiff's and Class members' nonpublic 10 personal and financial health information from being accessed by unauthorized 11 persons. This duty included creating, maintaining, testing, and securing any 12 databases containing Defendants' customers' nonpublic personal and financial 13 information, to ensure that Plaintiff's and Class members' nonpublic personal and 14 financial information was secured from cyber attack, and other things. This duty 15 also included, at the minimum, that Plaintiff's and Class members' nonpublic 16 personal, financial and health information be encrypted. 17

Defendants had a foreseeable duty to Plaintiff and Class members to 18 67. implement processes to detect a breach of Defendants' security systems in a timely 19 manner and to act upon any warnings or alerts that Defendants' security systems 20 were breached. 21

68. Defendants had a foreseeable duty to Plaintiff and Class members to 2.2. timely disclose any breach of Defendants' security systems.

69. Defendants breached these duties owed to Plaintiff and Class 24 members by their conduct alleged herein by, inter alia, (i) not exercising 25 reasonable care in retaining, maintaining, securing, and safeguarding current and 26 former customers' nonpublic personal and financial information from being 27 accessed and taken by unauthorized persons, including failing to encrypt the 28

nonpublic personal and financial information; (ii) failing to implement processes to
detect a breach of its security systems in a timely manner and to act upon any
warnings or alerts that Defendants' security systems had been breached; (iii)
failing to timely disclose the data breach to Plaintiff and Class members; and (iv)
failing to disclose that Defendants could not adequately secure Plaintiff's or Class
members' personal or financial and health information.

7 70. As a result of Defendants' conduct described throughout this
8 Complaint, Plaintiff and members of the Class have been harmed and have
9 suffered, and will continue to suffer, damages and injuries.

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

EIGTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Implied Contract)

(Against All Defendants)

71. Plaintiff hereby incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this
Complaint and restates them as if they were fully written herein.

72. Defendants, provided Plaintiff and Class members with an implied contract to protect and keep Defendants' patients' private nonpublic personal and financial and health information when it gathered the information from each of its patients.

73. Plaintiff and Class members would not have provided their personal
 or financial and health information to Defendants or its subsidiaries. Defendants'
 implied promises to safeguard and protect Defendants' customers' nonpublic
 personal and financial information.

74. Plaintiff and Class members performed their obligations under the
implied contract when they provided their private personal, financial, and health
information as a patient and when they paid for the health care service provided by
Defendants.

75. Defendants breached the implied contracts with Plaintiff and Class
members by failing protect and keep private the nonpublic personal and financial

information provided to them about Plaintiff and Class members. 1

76. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' breach of their 2 implied contracts, Plaintiff and Class members have been harmed and have 3 suffered, and will continue to suffer, damages and injuries. 4

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Unjust Enrichment)

(Against All Defendants)

77. Plaintiff hereby incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this 8 Complaint and restates them as if they were fully written herein. 9

Plaintiff and Class members conferred a benefit on Defendants in the 78. 10 form of payment for health care services provided by Defendants, part of which 11 was to pay for Defendants to protect and keep private their customers' nonpublic 12 personal and financial information. 13

79. Defendants failed to pay for the benefits provided to them by Plaintiff 14 and Class members by failing to protect and keep private the nonpublic personal 15 and financial information with which Plaintiff and Class members entrusted 16 Defendants.

Defendants' failure to pay for the benefits provided to them, i.e., to 80. 18 protect and keep private Plaintiff's and Class members' nonpublic personal, 19 financial, and health information, was to the detriment to Plaintiff and Class 20 members because it was Plaintiff's and Class members' nonpublic personal, 21 financial and health information that was stolen by cyber thieves. 22

As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' failure to pay for the 81. 23 benefits provided to them, Plaintiff and the Class have been harmed and have 24 suffered, and will continue to suffer, damages and injuries, and are entitled to 25 restitution. 26

/// 27

5

6

7

17

/// 28

1	VII.		
2	REQUEST FOR JURY TRIAL		
3	82. Mr. Allen requests a trial by jury of all issues which may be tried by a		
4	jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.		
5	VIII.		
6	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
7	Mr. Allen, on behalf of himself and class members, respectfully requests that		
8	this Court award relief as follows:		
9	a) Certify this case as a class action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a), (b)(1),		
10	(b)(2), (b)(3) and/or (c)(4), and, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g), appoint		
11	the named Plaintiff to be Class representative and his undersigned counsel to		
12	be Class counsel;		
13	b) An order declaring that the actions of Defendants, as set out above, are in		
14	violation of California Civil Code § 56.10;		
15	c) An order declaring that the actions of Defendants, as set out above, are in		
16	violation of California Civil Code § 56.101;		
17	d) An order declaring that the actions of Defendants, as set out above, are in		
18	violation of California Civil Code § 1798.81.5;		
19	e) A judgment for and award of statutory damages to Plaintiff and the members		
20	of the class pursuant to California Civil Code § 56.36(b)(1);		
21	f) A permanent injunction enjoining Defendants from engaging in conduct in		
22	violation of California Civil Code §§ 56.10, 56.101 and 1798.81.5;		
23	g) An award of restitution pursuant to Business and Professions Code §§		
24	17200, et seq.;		
25	h) Payment of costs of suit herein incurred pursuant to, inter alia, California		
26	Civil Code § 56.35;		
27	i) Payment of attorneys' fees pursuant to, inter alia, California Civil Code §		
28	56.35 and/or California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5;		
	- 18 -		
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT		

1	j) Require Defendants to make whole any losses suffered by Plaintiff and Class			
2	2 members;	members;		
3	³ k) Enjoin Defendants from further engage	k) Enjoin Defendants from further engaging in the unlawful conduct		
4	4 complained of herein;	complained of herein;		
5	1) Award Plaintiff and the Class appropriate relief, including actual and			
6	statutory damages, restitution and disgorgement;			
7	7 m) Award pre- and post- judgment intere	m) Award pre- and post- judgment interest;		
8	8 n) Require Defendants to pay for notifyi	n) Require Defendants to pay for notifying the Class of the pendency of this		
9	9 action; and	action; and		
10	100) Grant all other and further relief as th	o) Grant all other and further relief as this Court deems necessary, just and		
11	¹¹ proper.			
12	Datad: July 20, 2015	MAHONEY LAW GROUP, APC		
13				
14		/s/Kevin Mahoney Kevin Mahoney		
15		Attorney for Plaintiff Michael Allen		
16				
17 18	Dated: July 20, 2015	BRIANA KIM, PC		
10		/s/Driana M. Kim		
20		<u>/s/Briana M. Kim</u> Briana M. Kim		
21		Attorney for Plaintiff Michael Allen		
22	22			
23	23			
24	24			
25	25			
26	26			
27	27			
28	28			
	- 19 -	- 19 - CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT		